

NATIONAL PH.D. PROGRAM IN AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS

Intelligent systems for industrial robotics

Ph.D. candidate

Fabio MASTROMARINO

Cycle

XL

Tutors

Prof. Mariagrazia Dotoli Prof. Raffaele Carli Eng. Nicola Longo (Comau S.p.A.) Eng. Antonio Venezia (Comau S.p.A.)

1. Description of the research program

This PhD project focuses on developing advanced planning and optimization strategies for manipulators operating in semi-structured environments. The goal is to leverage the robot's functional redundancies and dynamical capabilities to independently formulate a control policy that meets full compliance with input requirements. By integrating appropriate vision algorithms, the proposed approach aims at enhancing applicability across a wide range of industrial tasks while guaranteeing both adaptability and safety.

Research Gap and Positioning

While the task of planning and optimizing the trajectory of a manipulator is fundamentally important in robotics, the literature lacks a comprehensive, adaptable, and easily integrable approach for industrial manipulators.

To correctly model the manipulator and its environment, various solutions exist in the literature, each performing differently depending on several factors such as the structure of the environment, the required precision required for the specific application, the characteristics of the manipulator, and other boundary conditions, like whether the environment is static or dynamic and the framework with which the robot interfaces. Models can be broadly categorized into analytical and data-driven types. Analytical models offer high precision and maintain low computational weight for solving planning problems. However, they are best suited for static and highly structured environments due to their sensitivity to model uncertainties and difficulties in reconfiguring for real-time applications [7], [5]. The data driven models rely on tools like cameras placed on the manipulator and appropriate vision processing algorithms. They are highly adaptable, even in dynamic and unstructured environments. Despite their adaptability, they generally have lower accuracy due to uncertainties introduced during the processing phase and are more computationally expensive compared to analytical models [6]. Against the discussed background, the proposed approach will be based on a hybrid approach and will be structured as follows:

- Modeling the manipulator and its operating environment, allowing for dynamical and real-time updates.
- Selecting an appropriate control policy, which guarantees all input requirements are met.
- Addressing the combined challenges resulting from the previous steps to provide a comprehensive solution.

Research Objectives

In the proposed approach, a hybrid model combining analytical modeling with adaptive reconfiguration based on features extracted from a camera will be developed. This approach ensures accuracy and adaptability, even in semi-structured environments [2]. To develop a generalized and adaptable methodology for achieving a collision-free trajectory and at the same time optimize boundary conditions [8], chosen, a critical issue is the choice of the correct policy. Literature often leverages the degrees of freedom provided by functional redundancies in specific applications to minimize execution time [4], energy consumption [1, 12], or end-effector vibrations [10], or to maximize manipulability [13, 9], or safety margins [3]. The selection of boundary conditions typically depends on the application's requirements. In industrial contexts, reducing trajectory execution time or energy consumption translates into economic advantages, while minimizing end-effector vibrations is crucial for high-precision applications. These boundary conditions often conflict and are thus incorporated into the optimization problem with weights assigned based on their relative importance. In the proposed approach, these weights will be dynamically chosen based on the control policy. Rather than selecting a control policy a priori, our methodology will dynamically modify the policy to better adapt to the environment, utilizing the manipulator's dynamics, input requirements, and data collected by the camera. This approach aims to maximize the dexterity and redundancy of the robot.

Research Novelty

The analysis of the literature revealed a gap between the proposed solution and the state-of-the-art methodologies for manipulators; although various approaches are formally correct high accurate, these cannot be fully exploited within industrial scenarios due to their complexity of implementation, thus been surpassed by few higher performing methodologies easier to use. The proposed approach will address this gap, positioning itself as a viable alternative to existing methods, to develop a novel methodology that significantly improves the accuracy and adaptability of the robot control system. Specifically, the goal of the proposed work is to formally propose a mathematical framework to characterize the robot control problem, while at the same time applying this methodology in a real industrial scenario to fill the gap between the state-of-the-art approach and the practical methods usually applied in industrial scenarios. Trajectory optimization problems are usually non-convex, and numerous methodologies exist for resolving and simplifying such problems, our approach aims to explore and deepen this aspect [11].

Project reference list

[1] Sourva Dipta Das, Victor Bain, and Pratyusha Rakshit. "Energy optimized robot arm path planning using differential evolution in dynamic environment". In: 2018 Second International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS). IEEE. 2018, pp. 1267–1272.

[2] Peter Gemeiner et al. "Real-time slam with a high-speed cmos camera". In: 14th International Conference on Image Analysis and Processing (ICIAP 2007). IEEE. 2007, pp. 297–302.

[3] Tudor B Ionescu. "Adaptive simplex architecture for safe, real-time robot path planning". In: Sensors 21.8 (2021), p. 2589.

[4] Byung Kook Kim and Kang G Shin. "Minimum-time path planning for robot arms and their dynamics". In: IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics 2 (1985), pp. 213–223.

[5] Stefan Klanke et al. "Dynamic path planning for a 7-DOF robot arm". In: 2006 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems. IEEE. 2006, pp. 3879–3884.

[6] Matthew Klingensmith, Siddartha S Sirinivasa, and Michael Kaess. "Articulated robot motion for simultaneous localization and mapping (arm-slam)". In: IEEE robotics and automation letters 1.2 (2016), pp. 1156–1163.

[7] Tobias Kunz et al. "Real-time path planning for a robot arm in changing environments". In: 2010 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE. 2010, pp. 5906–5911.

[8] Hsien-I Lin and Ming-Feng Hsieh. "Robotic arm path planning based on three-dimensional artificial potential field". In: 2018 18th International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS). IEEE. 2018, pp. 740–745.

[9] Filip Mari \tilde{c} et al. "Fast manipulability maximization using continuous-time trajectory optimization". In: 2019 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). IEEE. 2019, pp. 8258–8264.

[10] Kyung-Jo Park. "Path design of redundant flexible robot manipulators to reduce residual vibration in the presence of obstacles". In: Robotica 21.3 (2003), pp. 335–340.

[11] John Schulman et al. "Finding locally optimal, collision-free trajectories with sequential convex optimization." In: Robotics: science and systems. Vol. 9. 1. Berlin, Germany. 2013, pp. 1–10.

[12] Abhronil Sengupta et al. "Energy efficient trajectory planning by a robot arm using invasive weed optimization technique". In: 2011 Third world congress on nature and biologically inspired computing. IEEE. 2011, pp. 311-316.

[13] Henghua Shen et al. "Adaptive manipulability-based path planning strategy for industrial robot manipulators". In: IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics 28.3 (2023), pp. 1742–1753.

2. Schedule of the research activities

First academic year (planned)

Second academic year (planned)

Third academic year (planned)

3. Training and research activities plan

Second academic year (planned)

Third academic year (planned)

Fabio MASTROMARINO Prof. Mariagrazia Dotoli

 μ

Prof. Raffaele Carli

 $_$

 $_$

 $_$

Eng. Nicola Longo

Eng. Antonio Venezia